



The legacy of Francesca Gherardi in invasion biology

OVERVIEW

Managing invasive crayfish: is there a hope?

Francesca Gherardi · Laura Aquiloni ·
Javier Diéguez-Uribeondo · Elena Tricarico

51

Received: 1 August 2010 / Accepted: 14 January 2011 / Published online: 12 February 2011
© Springer Basel AG 2011

Abstract Given that the impact exerted by non-indigenous crayfish species (NICS) is most often severe, can occur across many levels of ecological organization, and results in the loss of native crayfish populations, the Convention on Biological Diversity approach, as comple-

control NICS with a discussion of their pitfalls and potentialities. A glimpse to the ongoing research in the matter will be also given.

Keywords Invasive non-indigenous crayfish · Trapping ·





Biological Invasions 6: 89–99, 2004.

© 2004 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

Predatory efficiency of crayfish: comparison between indigenous and non-indigenous species

Barbara Renai* & Francesca Gherardi

*Department of Animal Biology and Genetics 'Leo Pardi', University of Florence, Via Romana 17, 50125 Florence, Italy; *Author for correspondence (e-mail: barbara.renai@unifi.it; fax: +39-55-222565)*

Received 3 April 2002; accepted in revised form 2 June 2003

53

Key words: Austropotamobius italicus, 'confusion effect', crayfish, indigenous species, non-indigenous species, predation, Procambarus clarkii, sit-and-wait predatory strategy





Invasion note

The invasion of the alien crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* in Europe, with particular reference to Italy

Silvia Barbaresi & Francesca Gherardi

*Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e Genetica, Via Paradisi, Università degli Studi di Firenze,
Via Romana 17, 50125 Firenze, Italy (e-mail: gherardi@biog.unifi.it; fax: +39 055 222565)*

Received 26 October 1998; accepted in revised form 11 November 1998

Key words: alien species, freshwater crayfish, Italy, *Procambarus clarkii*



Invasive crayfish in Europe: the impact of *Procambarus clarkii* on the littoral community of a Mediterranean lake

FRANCESCA GHERARDI AND PATRIZIA ACQUISTAPACE

Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e Genetica, Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

SUMMARY

1. Despite the growing number of introduced crayfish species worldwide, little scientific attention has been given to the effects on the recipient communities. Here, we quantified the impact of adult swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) on key components of the littoral community of a trophic lake in central Italy. We used two densities of crayfish plus a no-crayfish control, and two complementary methods – *in situ* enclosures and a laboratory study.

2. Both experiments showed that *P. clarkii* strongly affects the community even at a low density (4 m^{-2}), although a high crayfish density (8 m^{-2}) showed a more pronounced impact in a shorter time.

3. In enclosures, *P. clarkii* quickly consumed the pond snail *Haitia acuta*, notwithstanding its anti-predator behaviour, but not the mosquitofish *Gambusia affinis*. The biomass of the hydrophytes *Nymphoides peltata* and *Potamogeton* spp. was strongly reduced by crayfish grazing, coupled with their non-consumptive plant clipping and uprooting, which were particularly intense in the case of *Potamogeton crispus*. In contrast, *Ultricularia australis* was avoided by *P. clarkii*.



Socioeconomic legacy yields an invasion debt

Franz Essl^{a,b,1}, Stefan Dullinger^{c,d,1,2}, Wolfgang Rabitsch^a, Philip E. Hulme^b, Karl Hülber^{c,d}, Vojtěch Jarošík^{e,f}, Ingrid Kleinbauer^f, Fridolin Krausmann^g, Ingolf Kühn^h, Wolfgang Nentwigⁱ, Montserrat Vilà^j, Piero Genovesi^k, Francesca Gherardi^l, Marie-Laure Desprez-Loustau^m, Alain Roquesⁿ, and Petr Pyšek^{e,f}

^aEnvironment Agency Austria, 1090 Vienna, Austria; ^bBio-Protection Research Centre, P.O. Box 84, Lincoln University, Lincoln 7647, Christchurch, New Zealand; ^cVienna Institute for Nature Conservation and Analyses, 1090 Vienna, Austria; ^dDepartment of Conservation Biology, Vegetation and Landscape Ecology, University of Vienna, 1030 Vienna, Austria; ^eInstitute of Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 252 43 Průhonice, Czech Republic; ^fDepartment of Ecology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, 128 01 Praha 2, Czech Republic; ^gInstitute of Social Ecology, Alpen Adria Universität, 1070 Vienna, Austria; ^hUFZ, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Department of Community Ecology, 06120 Halle, Germany; ⁱInstitute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland; ^jEstación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), E-41092 Seville, Spain; ^kInternational Union for Conservation of Nature Species Survival Commission, Invasive Species Specialist Group, Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, I-00185 Rome, Italy; ^lDipartimento di Biologia Evoluzionistica "Leo Pardi", Università di Firenze, I-50125 Firenze, Italy; ^mInstitut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Unité Mixte de Recherche 1202 Biodiversité, Génétique et Ecologie Forestière, 33140 Villenave d'Ornon, France; and ⁿInstitut National de la Recherche Agronomique UR633, Zoologie Forestière, 50700 Niort, France

Edited by Harold A. Mooney, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, and approved November 23, 2010 (received for review August 6, 2010)

Globalization and economic growth are widely recognized as important drivers of biological invasions. Consequently, there is an increasing need for governments to address the role of international trade in their strategies to prevent species introductions. However, many of the most problematic alien species are not recent arrivals but were introduced several decades ago. Hence, current patterns of alien-species richness may better reflect historical rather than contemporary human activities, a phenomenon which might be called "invasion debt." Here, we show that across 10 taxonomic groups (vascular plants, bryophytes, fungi, birds, mammals, reptiles, amphibians, fish, terrestrial insects, and aquatic invertebrates) in 28 European

countries, the number of established alien species is tightly correlated with current numbers of a wide range of alien plant and animal species. However, human population densities and economic performance of individual countries have not developed strictly in parallel during the past century, with some countries seeing more and others less rapid development (16) (Fig. S1). These differential histories offer an opportunity to explicitly test the invasion debt hypothesis: If lag times between introduction and establishment are short for a majority of species, we should expect current numbers of established alien species across different countries to be more closely related to contemporary rather than historical socioeconomic activities. In contrast, if an invasion



Disentangling the role of environmental and human pressures on biological invasions across Europe

Petr Pyšek^{a,h,1}, Vojtěch Jarošík^{a,b}, Philip E. Hulme^c, Ingolf Kühn^d, Jan Wild^a, Margarita Arianoutsou^e, Sven Bacher^f, Francois Chiron^g, Viktoras Didžiulis^h, Franz Essiⁱ, Piero Genovesi^j, Francesca Gherardi^k, Martin Hejda^a, Salit Kark^l, Philip W. Lambdon^m, Marie-Laure Desprez-Loustauⁿ, Wolfgang Nentwig^o, Jan Pergl^a, Katja Poboljšaj^p, Wolfgang Rabitsch^q, Alain Roques^g, David B. Roy^r, Susan Shirley^s, Wojciech Solarz^t, Montserrat Vilà^u, and Marten Winter^{d,f}

120

^aInstitute of Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 252 43 P. J. Štefánikova, Prague, Czech Republic; ^bDepartment of Ecology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, CZ-128 01 Prague 2, Czech Republic; ^cBio-Protection Research Centre, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand; ^dHelmholtz Centre for Environmental Research-UFZ, Department of Community Ecology, D-06120 Halle, Germany; ^eDepartment of Ecology and Systematics, Faculty of Biology, University of Athens, 15784 Athens, Greece; ^fEcology and Evolution Unit, University of Fribourg, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland; ^gMuséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7204, 75005 Paris, France; ^hCoastal Research and Planning Institute, Klaipėda University, LT-92294, Klaipėda, Lithuania; ⁱFederal Environment Agency, Department of Biodiversity and Nature Conservation, A-1090 Vienna, Austria; ^jInstitute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA), 4004 Ozzano Emilia BO, Italy; ^kDipartimento di Biologia Evoluzionistica, University of Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy; ^lDepartment of Ecology, Institute of Life Sciences, Hebrew University, IL-7610, Rehovot, Israel; ^mDepartment of Biology, University of Exeter, EX4 4RJ Exeter, United Kingdom; ⁿForest Pathologie Forestière, INRA, UR1213, 45075 Orléans, France; ^oDepartment of Forest Ecology, University of Applied Sciences, PL-31-120, Krakow, Poland; ^pDepartment of Ecology, University of Zagreb, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia; ^qDepartment of Ecology, University of Applied Sciences, PL-31-120, Krakow, Poland; ^rDepartment of Ecology, University of Applied Sciences, PL-31-120, Krakow, Poland; ^sDepartment of Ecology, University of Applied Sciences, PL-31-120, Krakow, Poland; ^tDepartment of Ecology, University of Applied Sciences, PL-31-120, Krakow, Poland; ^uDepartment of Ecology, University of Applied Sciences, PL-31-120, Krakow, Poland

Edited* by Harold

The accelerating
the latter half of
mixing of biota
introduced to ne
biogeographic,
drivers of this tr



number of naturalized
regions also declines
) However, latitude
mental regions in the
erate regions there



